

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/789,027             | MURATA ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | R. Stephen Dildine     | 2112                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) R. Stephen Dildine.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Dexter T. Chang.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 August 2007
**Time:** 12:15 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Claim 11 should be amended to depend from claim 10 by examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. Stephen Dildine/

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Primary Examiner A.U. 2112

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)